Warning: strtotime(): It is not safe to rely on the system's timezone settings. You are *required* to use the date.timezone setting or the date_default_timezone_set() function. In case you used any of those methods and you are still getting this warning, you most likely misspelled the timezone identifier. We selected the timezone 'UTC' for now, but please set date.timezone to select your timezone. in /home1/thorium2/public_html/content/libraries/joomla/ utilities/date.php on line 56

Warning: date(): It is not safe to rely on the system's timezone settings. You are *required* to use the date.timezone setting or the date_default_timezone_set() function. In case you used any of those methods and you are still getting this warning, you most likely misspelled the timezone identifier. We selected the timezone 'UTC' for now, but please set date.timezone to select your timezone. in /home1/thorium2/public_html/content/libraries/joomla/util ities/date.php on line 198

A **breeder reactor** is a <u>nuclear reactor</u> that generates new <u>fissile</u> material at a greater rate than it consumes such material. These reactors were initially (1940s and 1960s) considered appealing due to their superior fuel economy; a normal reactor is able to consume less than 1% of the natural <u>uranium</u> that

begins the fuel cycle, whereas a breeder can utilize a much greater percentage of the initial fissionable material, and with re-processing, can use almost all of the initial fissionable material. Breeders can be designed to utilize

thorium

, which is more abundant than uranium. Currently, there is renewed interest in breeders because they would consume less natural uranium (less than 3% compared to conventional light-water reactors), and generate less

<u>waste</u>

, for equal amounts of energy,

[1

1

by converting non-fissile isotopes of uranium into nuclear fuel.

Production of fissile material in a reactor occurs by <u>neutron irradiation</u> of <u>fertile material</u>, particularly

uranium-238

and

thorium-232

. In a breeder reactor, these materials are deliberately provided, either in the fuel or in a

breeder blanket surrounding the core, or most commonly in both. Production of fissile material takes place to some extent in the fuel of all current commercial <u>nuclear power reactors</u> . Towards the end of its life, a uranium (not <u>MOX</u> , just uranium) <u>PWR</u> fuel element is producing more power from the fissioning of <u>plutonium</u> than from the remaining <u>uranium-235</u> . Historically, in order to be called a *breeder,* a reactor must be specifically designed to create more fissile material than it consumes.

One measure of a reactor's performance is the "breeding ratio" (the average number of fissile atoms created per fission event). Historically, attention has focused upon reactors with low breeding ratios (at or slightly above a breakeven value of 1.0), so that they produce only slightly more fissile material than they consume. Such designs range from a breeding ratio of 1.01 for the

Shippingport Reactor $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ running on thorium fuel and cooled by conventional light water to the Russian BN-350

liquid-metal-cooled reactor with a breeding ratio of over 1.2. 4
]

Theoretical models of breeders with liquid sodium coolant flowing through tubes inside fuel elements ("tube-in-shell" construction) show breeding ratios with an upper limit of 1.8 are possible.

<u>5]</u>

Breeding ratio

In normal operation, most large commercial reactors experience some

degree of fuel breeding. It is customary to refer only to machines optimized for this trait as true breeders, but industry trends are pushing breeding ratios steadily higher, thus blurring the distinction. [6]

Breeding vs burnup

All commercial <u>light water reactors</u> breed fuel, but they have breeding ratios that are very low (though still significant) compared to machines traditionally considered "breeders." In recent years, the commercial power industry has been emphasizing high-

burnup

fuels, which are typically enriched to higher percentages of U-235 than standard reactor fuels so that they last longer in the reactor core. As burnup increases, a higher percentage of the total power produced in a reactor is due to the fuel bred inside the reactor.

At a burnup of 30 gigawatt -days per metric ton of uranium (GWd/MTU), about thirty percent of the total energy released comes from bred plutonium. At 40 GWd/MTU, that percentage increases to about forty percent. This corresponds to a breeding ratio for these reactors of about 0.4 to 0.5. That is to say, about half of the fissile fuel in these reactors is bred there.

-]
- <u>7</u>
- 1

Correspondingly, this effect extends the cycle life for such fuels to sometimes nearly twice what it would be otherwise.

MOX fuel

has a smaller breeding effect than U-235 fuel and is thus more challenging and slightly less economic to use due to a quicker drop off

in reactivity through cycle life.

This is of interest largely because next-generation reactors such as the <u>European Pressurized Reactor</u>

AP1000

and

ESBWR

are designed to achieve very high burnup. This directly translates to higher breeding ratios. Current commercial power reactors have achieved breeding ratios of roughly 0.55, and next-generation designs like the AP1000 and EPR should have breeding ratios of 0.7 to 0.8, meaning that they produce 70 to 80 percent as much fuel as they consume, improving their fuel economy by roughly 15 percent compared to current high-burnup reactors.

Breeding of fissile fuel is a common feature in reactors, but in commercial reactors not optimized for this feature it is referred to as "enhanced burnup". Up to a third of all electricity produced in the current US reactor fleet comes from bred fuel, and the industry is working steadily to increase that percentage as time goes on.

Types of breeder reactors

Two types of traditional breeder reactor have been proposed:

- fast breeder reactor or FBR. The superior neutron economy of a

<u>fast neutron reactor</u> makes it possible to build a reactor that, after its initial fuel charge of <u>plutonium</u> , requires only natural (or even depleted) uranium feedstock as input to

its fuel cycle. This fuel cycle has been termed the plutonium economy

<u>thermal breeder reactor</u>. The excellent neutron capture characteristics of fissile <u>uranium-233</u> make it possible to build a moderated reactor that, after its initial fuel charge of <u>enriched uranium</u>, plutonium or <u>MOX</u>, requires only <u>thorium</u> as input to its fuel cycle.
 <u>Thorium-232</u> produces uranium-233 after neutron capture and <u>beta decay</u>

In addition to this, there is some interest in so-called "reduced moderation reactors", [8] which are derived from conventional reactors and use conventional fuels and coolants, but are designed to be reasonably efficient as breeders. Such designs typically achieve breeding ratios of 0.7 to 1.01 or even higher.

Reprocessing

Use of a breeder reactor assumes <u>nuclear reprocessing</u> of the breeder blanket at least, without which the concept is meaningless. In practice, all proposed breeder reactor programs involve reprocessing of the fuel elements as well. This is important due to nuclear weapons proliferation concerns, as any nation conducting reprocessing using the traditional aqueous-based

PUREX

family of reprocessing techniques could potentially divert plutonium towards weapons building. In practice, commercial plutonium from reactors with significant burnup would require sophisticated weapon designs, but the possibility must be considered. To address this concern, modified aqueous reprocessing systems, which add extra reagents, forcing minor

actinide

"impurities" such as

<u>curium</u>

and

neptunium

to commingle with the plutonium, have been proposed. Such impurities matter little in a fast spectrum reactor, but make weaponizing the plutonium extraordinarily difficult, such that even very sophisticated weapon designs are likely to fail to fire properly. Such systems as the

TRUEX

and

SANEX

are meant to address this.

Even more comprehensive are systems such as the Integral Fast

Reactor

```
(IFR)
```

pyroprocessing system, which uses pools of molten cadmium

and electrorefiners to reprocess metallic fuel directly on-site at the reactor.

9

1

Such systems not only commingle all the minor actinides with both uranium and plutonium, they are compact and self-contained, so that no plutonium-containing material ever needs to be transported away from the site of the breeder reactor. Breeder reactors incorporating such technology would most likely be designed with breeding ratios very close to 1.00, so that after an initial loading of enriched uranium and/or plutonium fuel, the reactor would then be refueled only with small deliveries of natural uranium metal. A quantity of natural uranium metal equivalent to a block about the size of a milk crate delivered once per month would be all the fuel such a 1 gigawatt reactor would need.

<u>10</u>

1

Such self-contained breeders are currently envisioned as the final self-contained and self-supporting ultimate goal of nuclear reactor designers.

The Fast Breeder Reactor

Main article: Fast Breeder Reactor

EBR-I, 1951) to over 1000 MWe. As of 2006, the technology is not economically competitive to thermal reactor technology; but Indi

<u>a</u> Japan

,

<u>China</u>

Korea and <u>Russia</u> are all committing substantial research funds to further development of Fast Breeder reactors, anticipating that rising uranium prices will change this in the long term. Looking further ahead, three of the proposed <u>generation IV reactor</u> types are FBRs:

<u>Gas-Cooled Fast Reactor</u> (GFR) cooled by <u>helium</u>. - <u>Sodium-Cooled Fast Reactor</u> (SFR) based on the existing Liquid Metal FBR (<u>LMFBR</u>) and <u>I</u> <u>ntegral Fast Reactor</u> designs.

- <u>Lead-Cooled Fast Reactor</u> (LFR) based on Soviet naval propulsion units.

As well as their thermal breeder program, <u>India</u> is also developing FBR technology, using both uranium and thorium feedstocks.

The Thermal Breeder Reactor

The Liquid Fluoride Reactor was also developed as a thermal breeder.

Liquid-fluoride reactors have many attractive features, such as deep inherent safety (due to their strong negative temperature coefficient of reactivity and their ability to drain their liquid fuel into a passively-cooled and non-critical configuration) and ease of operation. They are particularly attractive as thermal breeders because they can isolate <u>protactinium-233</u>

(the intermediate breeding product of thorium) from neutron flux and allow it to decay to uranium-233, which can then be returned to the reactor. Typical solid-fueled reactors are not capable of accomplishing this step and thus

<u>U-234</u>

is formed upon further neutron irradiation. The

Advanced Heavy Water Reactor

is one of the few proposed large-scale uses of thorium

. As of 2006 only

<u>India</u>

is developing this technology. Indian interest is motivated by their substantial thorium reserves; almost a third of the world's thorium reserves are in India, which in contrast has less than 1% of the world's uranium. Their stated intention is to use both fast and thermal breeder reactors to supply both their own fuel and a surplus for non-breeding thermal power reactors. Total worldwide resources of thorium are roughly three times those of uranium, so in the extreme long term this technology may become of more general interest.

Traveling Wave Reactor

Main article: Traveling wave reactor

A theoretical type of self-contained breeder reactor called a <u>traveling</u> <u>wave reactor</u> is proposed in a patent by <u>Intellectual Ventures</u> . If it were to be built, it would be fueled by natural uranium, depleted uranium or thorium and would be able to operate for many years without needing any refueling.

[<u>11</u>]

Notable Breeder Reactors

- <u>Experimental Breeder Reactor I</u> (U.S., decommissioned 1964, world's first electricity-producing nuclear power plant)

- <u>BN-600</u> (Russia, end of life 2010) [<u>12</u>] [<u>13</u>]
- Clinch River Breeder Reactor (U.S., construction abandoned in

1982 because the US halted its nt-fuel reprocessing

```
program and thus made breeders pointless)
```

<u>14</u>

1

- <u>Monju</u> (Japan, being brought online again after a serious sodium leak and fire in 1995) [15]

- Superphénix (France, closed 1998) [16]

- <u>Phénix</u> (France, operational since 1974, stopped its grid electricity production as of March 2009, prior to decommissioning)

```
<u>17</u>
l
```

-]
- <u>18</u>

1

1

spe

1	9	
I		

Taken from wikipedia (<u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Breeder_reactor</u>)